

who otherwise would not have been aware of it?

SENATOR HABERMAN: No.

SENATOR CHAMBERS: Thank you, Senator Haberman. Senator Haberman is attempting to joust at windmills and I applaud him for it. The man has illimitable energy, inexhaustible determination in coming up against hopelessly lost causes which totally lack merit. He can speak for a longer time on the wrong side of an issue about which he knows nothing than anybody I have seen in this Legislature in a long time. I am just having fun, Senator Haberman. But to be serious about this matter, if we can, I didn't realize that there would require a lot of debate to show the value of this particular proposition. But let me mention one thing which Senator Beutler may have touched on. If you establish in the law notice by publication as means of calling something to peoples' attention, then once that notice is published, then they are entitled to no additional notice. That is it, because that is the legal requirement. So, perhaps Senator Haberman might be harming somebody if he wants to make publication of notice the only way, or the final way, to bring something to peoples' attention. But remember, those whose identity and location are known have to be directly notified. If you subtract this additional notice, I don't think it will hurt anything. On the one hand you have Senator Beutler in favor of the bill who is known now as the one who wants to take a widow's homestead away from her after her husband dies, and you have me, who I'm trying to save the widow from losing her homestead to the dastardly forces represented by the very capable, but on occasion sinister, Senator Beutler. So when you have east meeting west, when you have ultraviolet and infrared coming together, the proposition, I think, from that standpoint alone merits some consideration. This particular notice is surplusage and I think we ought to advance LB 498.

PRESIDENT: Senator Chambers, since I...Senator Chambers, since I used to be a lawyer, I appreciate those kind remarks for us poor lawyers. With that self-serving statement, the Chair recognizes Senator DeCamp, another lawyer.

SENATOR DeCAMP: Mr. President and members of the Legislature, my good friend, Senator Beutler, made the allegation that the other day I offered no substantial arguments against this particular bill. My good friend, Senator Beutler, one thing, as I mentioned the other day, that I have learned really works on this floor is telling the absolute truth, because most people can't handle that. I offered no substantial arguments the other day against the